UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/034,794	12/28/2001	Senaka Balasuriya	33692.01.0023	1315
23418 VEDDER PRI	7590 03/12/200 CE KAUFMAN & KA		EXAMINER	
222 N. LASAI	LE STREET	E STREET ALAM, UZMA		UZMA
CHICAGO, IL	60601		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	2157	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/034,794	BALASURIYA, SENAKA				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
ı	Uzma Alam	2157				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress			
THE REPLY FILED 02 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP		•				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	ion.			
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	• •	126(a) and the accession				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered b	ecause			
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in belappeal; and/or 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,19-21 and 26-30</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.		•				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 1. 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been cons because:	idered but does NOT place the app	lication in condition fo	or allowance			
See Continuation Sheet.	(DTO (OD (OO) Days 11 ()					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to applicants arguments that Gbadesin does not teach a multi modal proxy identifier, it is asserted that Gbadesin teaches getting a proxy address for a client every time a client requests an address translation, which reads on the per session limitatin of the claim, see column 7, lines 15-25. Gbadesign also teaches that it translates between separate newtork sessions, or can operate in different modes, see column 7, lines 1-10. Also, In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). The rejection as a whole teaches Boloker conducting the multi-modal communcations while Gbadegesin conducts the network address translation an environment similar to Bolokers. Both these references in combination read on the limitations of the claim.

ARIBETIENNE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER